



- David Hilbert (1900), Tenth Problem:
 - Devise a ‘mechanical procedure’ (=algorithm) for deciding truth of arithmetical formula
 - e.g. of “ $\forall n \exists x, y, z: x^n + y^n = z^n$ “
- Gödel (1931): There exist arithmetical formula which can neither be proven nor refuted!
- Yuri V. Matiyasevich (1970): There exists a computable mapping $P \rightarrow p_P$ of programs to multivariate integer polynomials such that P terminates iff p_P has an integer root.

Recall Undecidability



HEINZ NIXDORF INSTITUTE
University of Paderborn
Algorithms and Complexity

- Decidable problems: \emptyset , $\{0^n 1^n \mid n\}$, SAT, ...
- Many undecidable problems:
 - diagonal language D , H , H complement
 - Hilbert's Tenth Problem
 - Properties of languages accepted by a given program (Rice's Theorem)
 - Post Correspondence Problem
 - Word Problem for Finitely Presented Groups
 - Simply-Connectedness of Simplicial Complexes
- Higher degrees of undecidability...

Definition: “ $A \leq B$ ” iff A decidable by \mathbf{BF}^B . Poset

Note: The above problems are linearly ordered:
Undecidability proofs by reduction to D .

Post's Question



- Decidable problems
- Undecidable L s.t. H is decidable by \mathbf{BF}^L
- Undecidable problems strictly beyond H : T , H^H
- Emil Post 1944: a) Is anything in between?
b) Are there incomparable problems?
- That is, do there exist
 - semi-decidable problems A , B
 - such that A is not decidable by \mathbf{BF}^B
 - nor is B decidable by \mathbf{BF}^A ?
- Answered 1956/57 by Friedberg & Muchnik

Recap on Diagonalization



$D = \{ \langle P \rangle \mid \text{program } P \text{ does not terminate on input } \langle P \rangle \}$

$D_t := \{ \langle P \rangle \# 0^k : \text{program } P \text{ does not accept } \langle P \rangle \# 0^k \text{ within } t(n) \cdot n \text{ steps, } n := |\langle P \rangle| + k \}$

Define disjoint increasing sequences of finite sets

$\emptyset \subseteq B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq B_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq B$ $\emptyset \subseteq C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq C_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq C$
 $i-1 \rightarrow i$: Take $n_i > n_{i-1}$ s.t. $B_{i-1}, C_{i-1} \subseteq \Sigma^{<n_i} \wedge 2^{n_i} > n_i + i$

Now ‘simulate’ $M_i^?$ on input $\underline{x} := 1^{n_i}$:

Start with $Z := \emptyset$; oracle queries “ $y \in ?$ ”

- in case $y \in B_{i-1}$, answer **yes**
 - in case $y \in C_{i-1}$, answer **no**
 - otherwise answer **no** and let $Z := Z \cup \{y\}$
- If accepts, let $B_i := B_{i-1} \cup \Sigma^{<n_i}$ and $C_i := C_{i-1} \cup Z$;
if rejects, $B_i := B_{i-1} \cup \{w\}$ and $C_i := C_{i-1} \cup Z$,